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Washington’s unemployment rate now stands at 9.2 percent, twice as high as the rate when the recession began in December 2007.\(^1\) Unemployment insurance (UI) has been a lifeline for many Washingtonian families during this difficult time; over 200,000 jobless workers are collecting state and federal UI benefits today.\(^2\)

UI benefits help families make ends meet, reducing economic insecurity and the negative consequences associated with unemployment. Because recipients tend to spend benefits quickly to meet basic needs, UI also stimulates local economies and helps create jobs. For both the state and its residents, the Washington unemployment insurance system is an essential tool to promote economic stability and recovery.

But unemployment insurance can do far more to help families and Washington’s bottom line. If the state acts before August 2011 to expand its program by providing additional benefits for jobless workers supporting dependent family members, the state will qualify for federal funds made available by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (the Recovery Act).\(^3\) Implementing a “dependent allowance” would boost state UI benefits for jobless workers struggling to cover the costs of supporting families while making Washington eligible for nearly $98 million in federal funding to finance this important reform.

**Washington Families Have Been Hit Hard by the Recession.**

Since April 2009, Washington’s unemployment rate has hovered at or around 9.0 percent (Figure 1).\(^4\) Prior to 2009, the state’s unemployment rate had not breached 9.0 percent in more than 25 years.\(^5\) Since the beginning of the recession, Washington has lost 177,800 non-farm jobs across a number of sectors, including government, construction, and financial activities.\(^6\)
As unemployment remains high across the state, many Washington families are feeling the effects. 9.2 percent of Washington families with children under 18 – more than 65,500 families – had at least one parent who was unemployed at some point in 2009.\(^7\) Single parent households, the majority of which are headed by single mothers, were even worse off – 9.8 percent had an unemployed parent at some point during 2009.\(^8\)

Unemployment Insurance Can Reduce the Damage Caused by Joblessness – But Washington Families Need More Help.

Unemployment can lead to poverty and economic instability, both of which have scarring effects on families, especially children. In 2009, more than 133,000 (8.1 percent) Washington families were poor,\(^9\) and poverty rates were substantially higher than in 2008 for many vulnerable groups. Among Washington families with children under 18, the poverty rate increased from 12.3 percent in 2008 to 13.0 percent in 2009,\(^10\) while the poverty rate for families headed by single mothers rose to 34.1 percent (up from 25.5 percent in 2008).\(^11\)

The continued economic crisis means these rates likely will be even higher in 2010.\(^12\) However, the increase in poverty in Washington undoubtedly would have been worse without the stabilizing effect of UI benefits. Nationwide, UI kept 3.3 million people out of poverty in 2009, including nearly one million children.\(^13\)

Given that the typical unemployed person has limited liquid net savings (less than $250 prior to job loss),\(^14\) it is not surprising that many jobless workers and their families would become poor without the income provided by UI – and would experience the detrimental effects of poverty that can
reverberate for generations. Children living in poverty are 1.3 times as likely as non-poor children to experience learning disabilities and developmental delays.\textsuperscript{15} Children who enter poverty during a recession are less likely to complete high school or college, and more likely to live in or near poverty as adults, than their more affluent peers.\textsuperscript{16}

Though having a parent laid off may not reduce family income below the official – and very low – poverty line ($21,756 for a family of four in 2009),\textsuperscript{17} the tremendous drop in earnings that results can make it difficult to cover the regular costs of housing, utilities, transportation and health care, much less any unexpected expenses. Job loss can lead to loss of health insurance coverage\textsuperscript{18} and greater risk of mortgage default;\textsuperscript{19} not surprisingly, unemployed workers report increased stress levels and strained family relationships.\textsuperscript{20}

Workers who are laid off tend to experience a decline in earnings even after finding new employment,\textsuperscript{21} and in the long term, their children may have lower annual earnings than those whose parents remained employed.\textsuperscript{22} Moreover, research suggests that not only the amount of household income, but also its stability, matters for children. A recent national study found that children in deteriorating or fluctuating economic circumstances were more likely to experience more negative academic and behavioral outcomes than children in stable economic situations.\textsuperscript{23}

The income replacement function of unemployment insurance is thus vital not only for parents struggling to find work, but also for the current and future well-being of children dependent upon their parents’ income. For more than 70 percent of the Washington households who received state UI benefits in 2009, the benefits constituted all or most of their household income.\textsuperscript{24} More than half of all benefits paid to Washington’s jobless workers are spent on two basic necessities – housing (43.8 percent) and food (14.0 percent)\textsuperscript{25}; because this money goes directly back into local economies, it also has a substantial stimulative effect, helping to create thousands of jobs and prevent further unemployment.\textsuperscript{26}

However, the level of benefits provided in Washington is insufficient to keep pace with the financial demands of jobless families in today’s economy. As of the end of October, state UI benefits averaged just $371 a week (or $1,607 a month).\textsuperscript{27} In 2009, state UI benefits replaced less than half (44.9 percent) of the average wage of Washington workers.\textsuperscript{28} Moreover, core living expenses are typically much higher for unemployed workers with children than for those who do not have families to support,\textsuperscript{29} and households with children are less prepared to face economic instability than other kinds of households.\textsuperscript{30}

Washington’s benefits fall short of the income needed for families to pay for even the basic necessities. For example, according to the “self sufficiency standard” for King County calculated by researchers at the University of Washington, the monthly average of $1,607 in unemployment benefits covers less than 60 percent (58.3 percent) of the cost of the basics – food, housing, health
care, transportation and miscellaneous essentials such as clothing ($2,757) – for a family of two adults and two children (Figure 2). \(^{31}\)

**Figure 2: Washington Unemployment Benefits Fall Short of Living Expenses for a Family of Two Adults and Two Children in King County\(^{32}\)**

---

Dependent Allowances Paid for by the Recovery Act Can Fill the Gap in Washington’s Benefits.

Fortunately, with over $97.7 million in federal incentive funding available through August 2011, Washington is in a position to help more jobless families meet their basic needs by providing additional unemployment benefits for families with dependents.

These supplemental benefits, called “dependent allowances,” have existed since the early days of the unemployment insurance program. As of 2010, 14 states and the District of Columbia had adopted dependent allowances for jobless workers who are supporting families (Table 1) – including six of the 15 states in the country with the highest populations (Washington ranks 13\(^{th}\)), making the benefits available to large numbers of jobless workers.\(^{33}\) Tennessee and the District of Columbia are the latest jurisdictions to have implemented dependent benefits in response to the generous incentive funding provided by the Recovery Act.

As detailed in Table 1, the state dependent allowance policies vary in their scope, with some providing more generous benefits and others offering more generous coverage. Typically, states provide between $10 and $25 a week in additional benefits per dependent, up to a maximum that generally ranges from $50 to $75. Several states supplement the benefits of jobless workers who support not just their minor children, but also their parents, siblings and other dependent family members.
members. In today’s economy, with record numbers of people living in multigenerational households – many likely driven by unemployment\textsuperscript{34} – these additional options take on special significance.

Dependent benefits can help families with jobless workers tremendously. For example, in a family with two children collecting $300 a week in benefits, a dependent allowance of $50 per week represents a 16.0 percent increase in the family’s total benefits – more than $200 a month that goes a long way to help pay for groceries and other necessary household expenses.

Enacting a new dependent allowance will qualify Washington for the remaining $97.7 million in federal incentive funding under the Recovery Act as long as the provision meets certain requirements that are consistent with the level and scope of benefits provided by the typical state. Specifically, the state dependent benefit must provide at least $15 a week per dependent; the benefits may be capped, but not at less than $50 a week or 50 percent of the recipient’s weekly unemployment benefits, whichever is lower.\textsuperscript{35} Aside from these required benefit levels, Washington has discretion to define the scope of coverage and may limit dependent allowances to jobless workers supporting a minor child of a designated age or extend the coverage to other dependents, including older children, parents, spouses or other family members.

* * *

Washington has a unique opportunity to aid some of its most vulnerable residents while addressing a fundamental limitation of the state’s unemployment insurance program with the help of significant funding provided by the Recovery Act. But Washington cannot delay – the state must pass legislation by August 2011 to take advantage of the nearly $98 million in incentive funding. Taking decisive action now will improve not only the state’s budget and economy, but also the lives of thousands of its families hardest hit by the economic downturn.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Allowance States</th>
<th>State Provisions Qualifying for Federal Recovery Act Funds</th>
<th>Amount Per Dependent/State Cap on Benefits</th>
<th>Minors Covered</th>
<th>Covers Additional Dependents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$24/$72</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$15/$75</td>
<td>Yes (or up to 21 if child is a full-time student)</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work/spouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$15/$50</td>
<td>Yes (under 16)</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, spouse, parent, brother or sister)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$26-$147/$147</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, spouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$2-$14/$85</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, parent, brother or sister)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$10/$178</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, spouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$8/maximum state UI benefit</td>
<td>Yes (under 16)</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$25/$314</td>
<td>Yes (or up to 24 if child is a full-time student)</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$6/maximum state UI benefit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, spouse, parent, brother or sister)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 percent of weekly benefits for first dependent, 4 percent for next two/maximum state UI benefit</td>
<td>Yes (under 19 or up to 22 if child is a full-time student)</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, spouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$25/$100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$1-$133/$133</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, spouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$5/$8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work, spouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$10 or 5 percent of weekly benefits/$136</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (older child unable to work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$15/$50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, 2010 Comparison of State Laws, Tables 3-9, 3-10.
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